

ARBITRATION AWARDS - printed Jun 24, 1998

AISI	Case#	Arb.	DEC	Description
130.230	14-D-14	112	D	No basis for change in recoding found
220.410	12-D-16	113	D	Grievant worked 30 turns in another dept's sequence. (Interplant transfers)
190.460	16-D-63	114	D	No basis for inequitability found
190.460	16-D-65	115	G	Incentive plan was found to be inequitable
130.230	7-D-22	116	D	No basis for change in recoding found
130.230	7-D-23	117	D	No basis for change in recoding found
130.230	7-D-24	118	D	No basis for change in recoding found
130.230	12-D-90	119	G	Change in coding and job classification rules.
221.000	17-D-74	120	D	No basis found to counteract original demotion
30.18.21	6-E-1	121	D	Grievant deliberately suppressed facts in application. (12-14-54)
220.11.4	12-D-64	122	G	Waiver is to a specific job not all jobs in general. Granted (1-12-55)
130.230	12-D-102	123	D	No basis for change in recoding found
190.460	16-C-289	124	D	No basis for inequitability found
220.211	14-D-17	125	G	Excellant ruling laying foundation of seniority
221.000	16-D-87	126	D	Insufficient evidence to overturn company's demotion
190.460	17-C-89	127	D	No basis for inequitability found
30.18.1	5-E-1	128	D	Suspension upheld;limit on modification of penalty
190.460	16-C-288	129	D	No basis for inequitability found
130.230	12-D-97	130	D	No basis for change in recoding found
190.460	17-D-73	131	D	No basis for inequitability found
190.460	16-C-251	132	D	No basis for inequitability found
30.160	10-D-13	133	D	Just cause existed for imposition of 3 day suspension.
130.230	21-E-2	134	D	No basis for change in recoding found
80.900	18-E-1,2	135	G	Job Description case:continuing grievance subject to grievance